Fast finite element solver for focused ultrasound applications

Adeeb Arif Kor^{*+}, Igor Baratta^{*}, Garth Wells^{*}

*University of Cambridge



⁺University of Malaya



What is focused-ultrasound?



Promising mode of treatment for various medical conditions

- +Meng et. al. (2020)
- *Kennedy (2005)

*Thermoablation

How it works?



Focused ultrasound transducer generates and focuses acoustic waves on the targeted region

*Thermoablation

Advantages



Non-invasive

- The effect is localized
- Possibility of multiple repeated treatments

Advantages



- Non-invasive
- The effect is localized

• Possibility of multiple repeated treatments

Advantages



- Non-invasive
- The effect is localized
- Possibility of multiple repeated treatments

Why simulate?



• Enable patient specific treatment planning

Prevent unwanted
heating at non-target
regions

7

Why simulate?



- Enable patient specific treatment planning
- Prevent unwanted heating at non-target regions

Model equation



- Viscoelastic wave equation
- The model is typically used for lowintensity ultrasound application such as in transcranial focused ultrasound application

Source boundary condition

$$\frac{1}{\rho_0 c_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial t^2} - \frac{1}{\rho_0} \nabla^2 p = \frac{\delta}{\rho_0 c_0^2} \nabla^2 \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T)$$
$$\nabla p \cdot \mathbf{n} + \frac{1}{c_0} p_t = g(t) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_s \times (0, T)$$

 Pressure wave generated by the ultrasound transducer

Absorbing boundary condition



 Absorbing boundary condition to absorb outgoing waves

Initial-boundary value problem



Semi-discrete equation



Runge-Kutta method

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{M}_{\Omega} + \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \\ \dot{\mathbf{v}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} \\ -\mathbf{K}_{\Omega} & -\mathbf{M}_{\Gamma} - \mathbf{K}_{\Omega} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{v} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{l}_1 + \mathbf{l}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{u}^{n+1} = \mathbf{u}^n + \Delta t \sum_{i=1}^s b_i \dot{\mathbf{u}}_i$$
$$\mathbf{v}^{n+1} = \mathbf{v}^n + \Delta t \sum_{i=1}^s b_i \dot{\mathbf{v}}_i$$

Solver design

- Fully hexahedral mesh
- High order GLL-based Lagrange finite element basis function
- Numerical integration is performed using GLL quadrature
- Mass lumping diagonal mass matrix
- Matrix-free method
- 4th order explicit Runge-Kutta method
- Implemented using the FEniCSx open-source software

Solver algorithm

while (t < tf) {</pre>

}

// COPY 1 copy(*u_, *u0); copy(*v_, *v0); for (int i = 0; i < n_RK; i++) {</pre> // COPY 2 copy(*u0, *un); copy(*v0, *vn); // AXPY A axpy(*un, dt * a runge[i], *udot, *un); axpy(*vn, dt * a_runge[i], *vdot, *vn); // RK time evaluation $tn = t + c_runge[i] * dt;$ // Solve for udot and vdot f0(tn, un, vn, udot); f1(tn, un, vn, vdot); // AXPY B axpy(*u_, dt * b_runge[i], *udot, *u_); axpy(*v_, dt * b_runge[i], *vdot, *v_); } // Update time t += dt;

$$f_0 \Rightarrow \dot{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{v}$$

$$f_1 \Rightarrow \dot{\mathbf{v}} = (\mathbf{M}_{\Omega} + \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma})^{-1} (-\mathbf{K}_{\Omega}\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{M}_{\Gamma} + \mathbf{K}_{\Omega})\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{l}_1 + \mathbf{l}_2)$$



$f_1 \ \mbox{function}$



Vector assembly



- A high percentage of time is spent on the vector assembly of the cell-wise operators.
- The action of the stiffness operator constitutes the highest percentage of time for vector assembly.

Vector assembly – speed-up



 Precomputed geometric data implementation gives
1.5 times speed-up

Vector assembly – speed-up



- Precomputed geometric data implementation gives
 1.5 times speed-up
- Adding sum-factorization implementation gives approximately 5.5 times speed-up

Fraction of peak performance



- Experiment was performed on the Intel Icelake CPU.
- The stiffness operator achieve a good fraction of peak performance in terms of the memory bandwidth.
- Between 50% 90% of peak performance.

Solver simulation time – speed-up



- The simulation time using the precomputed geometric data achieves a 1.1 times speed-up.
- The operator with sumfactorization achieves 3.2 times speed-up of total simulation time.

Strong scaling



- Doubling the number of processes half the time required for simulation
- The solver shows good strong scaling capability

Solver verification



Aubry et. al. (2022)

- A 3D transcranial ultrasound simulation
 - Spherical curved transducer focal length of 64 mm
 - Transducer amplitude = 60 kPa
 - Transducer frequency = 500 kHz
- Degrees of freedom: 70 x 10⁶
- Number of time steps: 3.4 x 10⁴
- The simulation took 1.5 hours using 256 processes on Intel Skylake CPU.
- The simulation was run using doubleprecision floating-point type.

Solution comparison with k-Wave solver

FEniCSx-US - Pressure Amplitude (XY)



Solution comparison with k-Wave solver



Summary

- We have implemented a high-order matrix-free finite element solver for focused ultrasound application.
- The cell-wise operators achieve an excellent fraction of peak performance.
- The solver shows excellent parallel scalability through strong scaling.
- The solver is capable to handle realistic transducer shape, domain heterogeneity as well as geometrically *complex* scatterer shape.



- Nonlinear model equation Westervelt equation
 - Important for modeling high-intensity ultrasound application
 - The main motivation of solving in the acoustic wave equation in the time-domain
- Heterogenous computing
 - GPU implementation of the solver

Acknowledgement

Registration and travel support for this presentation was provided by the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics